

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,772 09/10/2003		09/10/2003	Ming-Dou Ker	0941-0835P	3912
2292	7590	05/02/2005		EXAM	INER
BIRCH ST	EWART	KOLASCH & BI	WILSON, ALLAN R		
PO BOX 74	7				
FALLS CHU	JRCH, V	A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I A I' A' NI	A == 1: == = 4/= \				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/658,772	KER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan R. Wilson	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	pril 2005.	•				
· ·	_ 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<u>'</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	e r .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Schade et al. ("Schade") U.S. Patent No. 4,199,733.

With regards to claim 11, Schade illustrates in figures 1-4, particularly figure 2, (entire document) a substrate 59; a gate 62 formed on the substrate; a source 57 and drain 53 region formed in the substrate and respectively on both sides of the gate, the drain region being coupled to a pad 60 and the source region being coupled to receive a reference voltage 10; and a lightly doped region 54 formed in the substrate, and only between the gate and the drain region, having a depth greater than that of the drain region.

With regards to claim 12, Schade illustrates in fig. 2 the source 57 and drain 53 region are N+ doped regions.

With regards to claims 13 and 15, it is well known in the semiconductor art that the conductive type can be interchanged and have the same device structure.

With regards to claim 14, Schade illustrates in fig. 2 the lightly doped region is an N type.

With regards to claims 14 and 15, the examiner had to assume what the product would be by the process claimed. For example, in claim 14 it was assumed that the product was a lightly

Art Unit: 2815

doped region of an N type. The claim that it was "implantation region" was not considered to have full patentable weight. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, MPEP 2113 "Product-by-Process Claims," In re Brown, 173

USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90; In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re

Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that "the gate partially overlaps the lightly doped regions. SCAHDE et al. does not imply the lightly doped region is between the gate and the drain region only" is not persuasive. That a projection of the gate does not overlap the lightly doped region has not been

Art Unit: 2815

clearly claimed. SCAHDE shows the lightly doped region is <u>between</u> the gate and the drain region only. The lightly doped region is clearly not between the gate and source region. If the claims had the limitation that a projection of the gate does not overlap the lightly doped region, it would be clearer.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1738. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 7:00-4:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner

April 26, 2005